

October 21, 2008

MUR# 6/17

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Jeff S. Jordan
Supervisory Attorney
Federal Election Commission
999 E. Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Dear Mr. Jordan:

Per your letter dated October 10, 2008, enclosed please find my corrected affidavit to be filed as it relates to an FEC Complaint regarding Turk for Congress. I have included three copies. Please call me if you have any questions. Thank you.

Sincerely,

THE LAW OFFICES OF STEPHEN R. BOUGH

Stephen R. Bough

Enclosures

RECEIVED FECENTION FORMISSION SFICE OF GENERAL COUNSEL

MUR# 6117

<u>AFFIDAVIT</u>

RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION FICE OF GENERAL FEC COMPLAINT REGARDING TURK FOR CONGRESSISEL

I, Stephen R. Bough, being first duly sworn, hereby state and affect as follows: 4

- 1. The undersigned has personal knowledge of the facts set forth.
- **2**. · Kansas City, Missouri, 64113. Stephen R. Bough resides at
- 3. There are numerous yard signs (both large and small) throughout the Kansas City area.
- 4. There are at least five different billboards throughout the Kansas City area.
- 5. These billboards are within the metropolitan area along our busiest highways.
- 6. Based upon prior personal phone calls, these billboards (owned by CBS outdoor advertising) cost literally thousands of dollars per month.
- 7. There are two publicly accessible Turk for Congress campaign disclosures; one dated April 16, 2008 and one from July 28, 2008.
- 8. The April report lists an expenditure of \$500.00 for advertising to Connova Outdoor Sign Company.
- 9. The July repost lists an expenditure of \$2,407.51 for signage to Jo Ann Signs.
- 10. Neither report lists any in-kind donations for advertising, signage or bill boards.
- 11. Attached to this affidavit is a true and accurate copy of an email circulated from the Turk for Congress campaign. The yard signs and email are misleading in that they do not say Turk is running "for" congress and imply that he is the congressman already.
- 12. This email references the cost of yard signs being roughly \$2,000, which would correspond to the Jo Ann Signs expenditure from July.
- 13. Either someone besides the campaign is paying for the billboards or the bill boards were an in-kind donation that was not reported. Either way, it would be an illegal corporate subsidy.
- 14. These billboards were not reported as a campaign contributed or expenditure, in violation of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 110.

COUNTY OF TACKETON SS.

I, Stephen R. Bough, being duly sworn on oath states that the contents of this complaint are true to the best of affiant's knowledge and belief.

Stephen R. Bough

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 2 ST day of

. 2008.

My Commission Expires:



Notary Public